Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Marine Geology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/margo

Invited Research Article

Impact of river discharge on hydrodynamics and sedimentary processes at Yellow River Delta



^a State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China.
^b Hydro-environmental Research Centre, School of Engineering, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 3AA, UK.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Tidal dynamics River discharge Tidal shear front Suspended sediment transport Active Yellow River Delta

ABSTRACT

During the Anthropocene, regulating river discharge by high dams may have met the need for water demands in river basins, but resulted in carrying less freshwater and sediment to the sea, inducing land degradation and shoreline retreat in worldwide mega-river deltas. In land-ocean interaction, tide response to water discharge changes plays an important role and is crucial for the river-laden sediment transfer and dispersal, affecting both nearshore and estuarine deposits. The Yellow River Delta (YRD), which is under an increasing pressure of the new discharge regime of the Yellow River, has undergone drastic changes in terms of sediment dynamics and morphologic evolution. To gain a better understanding of the overall fluvial and marine hydrodynamics and morphodynamic processes in the YRD, in this study, a full-scale numerical model is built to investigate the interaction and impacts of changing environmental forcing and dynamics on flow and sediment transport in the estuary of YRD and its adjacent coasts. The results show that the river discharge strongly affects the tidal dynamics and morphology of the delta, particularly in the close vicinity of the outlet and the intertidal zone. Tidal constituents M2 and K1, which are the most significant ones in the YRD, are found to be noticeably affected with a decreasing trend when the river discharge increases. The model results also indicate that river discharge affects the location and intensity of the shear front that occurs in the nearshore areas of the YRD. Increasing the river discharge can induce a seaward movement of the shear front, reduce its width and concentrate its shear intensity. It is found that the reverse of the flow direction at each side of the shear front and strong longshore tidal current can act as a barrier for the sediment dispersal process by keeping suspended sediment in the inner zone, thus to form a particular sediment deposition zone and the depo-center.

1. Introduction

Sustainability of river deltas becomes one of the major challenges in this century. Natural processes and intensified human activities are shifting the balance between the river and coastal ocean dynamics, inducing changes in coastal and estuarine environments (Syvitski and Saito, 2007; Nienhuis et al., 2018). Due to the integrated effects of sediment starvation, land reclamation and relative sea level rise, river deltas tend to be more easily exposed to marine processes (Hoitink et al., 2017), led to a trend of land loss and shoreline retreat (Blum and Roberts, 2009). With the growing concerns about the morphologic adjustments to the changing coastal environment globally (Dai et al., 2014; Luan et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2018; Maloney et al., 2018), studying the patterns and rates of delta growth becomes essential for understanding the effects of human perturbations on river deltas with rapid environmental changes (Chamberlain et al., 2018). a key role in the morphodynamic development of deltas (Hoitink et al., 2017), as it acts as an important factor in controlling both river mouth hydrodynamics and estuarine deposits (Leonardi et al., 2013; Leonardi et al., 2015). For example, Leonardi et al. (2013) focused on the role of tides in shaping river mouth bar morphology in the fluvial dominated case and tidal dominated case, respectively, while Leonardi et al. (2015) suggested by field observations that even in micro-tidal environments, tides can play a critical role in shaping distributary hydrodynamics during both low and high river discharge regime. As indicated by Cai et al. (2014), the increase of riverine runoff can promote tidal damping, and reduce tidal velocity amplitude and wave celerity in the upstream channel of the estuary, but to what extent the riverine runoff variations will influence on the tidal dynamics in the coastal area near the river mouth still remains unclear and deserves further investigations.

Interaction between the river discharge and nearshore tides can play

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2020.106210 Received 13 April 2020 Available online 22 April 2020 0025-3227/ © 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V.







^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* slchen@sklec.ecnu.cn (S. Chen).



Fig. 1. (a) Map of the Yellow River basin, where dots indicate the major hydrological stations including Tangnaihai (TNH), Lanzhou (LZ), Toudaoguai (TDG), Longmen (LM), Huayuankou (HYK), Lijin (LJ); and triangles represent large hydraulic engineering projects (dams and reservoirs) in the basin; (b) Map of the modern Yellow River Delta, with a geographic view of the lowermost channel shifts, river mouth, and subaqueous morphology. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

The Yellow River Delta (YRD), which is located in the northern coast of China adjacent to the Bohai Sea and one of the largest deltas in the world, has undergone drastic changes in hydrodynamics and morphodynamics. Recent studies showed that the land reclamation, sea level rise and rapid submarine topographic changes may have affected the dominance of the regional fluvial and coastal dynamics in the Bohai Sea (Pelling et al., 2013). Specifically, Huang et al. (2015) and Zhu et al. (2018) analysed the impacts of the coastline modifications and reclamation projects on the evolution of the tidal system in the Bohai Sea, and found the amphidromic point near the YRD having moved south-eastwards gradually. Li et al. (2016) investigated the potential effect of sea level rise on the tidal dynamics of the Bohai Sea. However, little attention has been paid to the changing environment on the

variation of tides near the YRD, especially with the decreasing trend of river discharge.

Where there is a strong interaction between the fluvial discharge and coastal tides, an estuarine shear front can be formed. This marine front in general occurs along the shearing interface between two fluid bodies of the tide flow with reverse flow directions (Li et al., 2001). In this transition zone, large gradients of flow velocity, suspended sediment concentration (SSC), salinity and temperature can often be found (Huzzey and Brubaker, 1998; Nunes and Simpson, 1985; Wang et al., 2007). For the YRD, the extremely high sediment deposition rate can certainly be associated with the high sediment discharge from the river, and enhanced from the barrier effect from the shear front (Wang et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017a). The latter largely restricts H. Ji, et al.



Fig. 2. Monthly distribution of (a) water discharge Q; (b) sediment load Q_S; (c) SSC; (d) median grain size from 1962 to 2017.

the fine suspended sediment dispersal, and it is found that about 68% of the fine suspended sediment is deposited near the active YRD (Ji et al., 2018). Field observations (Li et al., 1998, 2001; Wang et al., 2007; Bi et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2015) and numerical studies (Qiao et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2017a) have well illustrated that the formation and spatial-temporal dynamics of the shear front in the YRD is the main cause for the large sloping nearshore morphology and its changes. Although the long-term evolution of the shear front in the YRD was investigated (Wang et al., 2017a), its local dynamics in the active YRD is still unclear, especially under the present landscape and new regime of river input.

Over the recent decades, under the influence of both the human interventions and climate change in the river basin, the YRD receives a drastically decreased river input, but with a highly inter-annual variability (Liu et al., 2012), mainly due to the Water-Sediment Regulation Scheme (WSRS) in the upstream of the low reach of the Yellow River controlled the dam at Xiaolangdi. The WSRS can result in approximately 30% and 50% of annual water and sediment discharges being transported to the sea respectively over a short period (Yu et al., 2013), which is much larger than the river discharge during natural flood seasons. A number of studies have been carried out focusing on the potential effect of the new river discharge regime on the enhanced spread of nutrients (Wang et al., 2017b), shoreline dynamics (Fan et al., 2018a), morphological changes in subaerial delta (Bi et al., 2014) and subaqueous delta (Ji et al., 2018). However, little has been done regarding influence of the inter-annual variability of the river input on the tidal dynamics, sediment dispersal range and sedimentation processes. The sediment dynamics influenced by the interactions between the river and coastal ocean can also have potential modifications to the deltaic depo-center, which is crucial to the land-building against the sea level rise due to the climate change.

Therefore, in this study, a 2D depth-averaged numerical model based on TELEMAC suite (Hervouet, 2007) is established and applied to simulate the tidal and sediment dynamics in response to the variations of river input. This work, for the first time, is to focus on the effect of river flow on the location and dynamics of tidal shear front and its influence on the sedimentary processes. The specific objectives of this study are: (1) to investigate the tide amplitude variations of the receiving basin to the river discharge changes; (2) to identify the tidal shear front dynamic response to the river input and consequent impacts on flow velocity and SSC changes across the shear front; and (3) to study the influence of the shear front dynamics to the deposits around the river mouth.

2. Study area

The Yellow River originates from Qinghai-Tibet Plateau and flows through the Loess Plateau and North China Plain successively, finally empties into the Bohai Sea (Fig. 1a). With high flow and highly concentred sediment discharge deliveries, rapid deposition and frequent channel avulsions have occurred in the YRD over recent decades, forming a fan-shaped landscape. The active deltaic lowermost channel has migrated from Shenxiangou (SXG) channel (1953–1964) and Diaokouhe (DKH) channel (1964–1976) to the current Qingshuigou (QSG) channel (1976-present), during which an artificial diversion to a new mouth channel known as Q8 channel (Chu et al., 2006) became necessary due to the concerns of the stability of QSG channel and decrease in the potential risk of flooding (Peng et al., 2010), as shown in Fig. 1b. The orientation of the active mouth channel has changed from the east direction to the north in 2007, and bifurcated into the North River mouth (NRM) and the East River mouth (ERM) since 2013.

However, due to the changing natural environment (temperature and precipitation) and river damming in the upstream (Fig. 1a), the riverine deliveries of both water and sediment have been seen a dramatic decrease (Jiang et al., 2017). The mean water discharge since 2000 only reached 749 m³/s in the flood seasons, being about 31% of 1950–1985 level (Fig. 2a). For the purpose of flooding prevention and agricultural use, the water discharge is highly regulated and distributed

throughout the year (Wang et al., 2006a), except for the human-induced peak-flood during WSRS. The sediment discharge has also dramatically decreased from 10.5 \times 10⁸ t/yr in 1950–1985 to 1.13 \times 10⁸ t/yr in 2000–2017 (Fig. 2b), with a drastic decline of the SSC from an average level of 29.0 kg/m³ before 2000 to 6.6 kg/m³ after 2000 (Fig. 2c). The sediment grain size recorded at Lijin Station shows an increasing trend after the implementation of WSRS, as the heavy sedimentation behind the dams and in the lower reach (Fig. 2d), which results sediment coarsening in recent years.

As regards the tidal regime off the YRD, it has been well accepted that it is dominated by an irregular semi-diurnal tide with a mean range of 0.73–1.77 m (Yang et al., 2011). Tides and tidal currents are highly influenced by the amphidromic point of tidal constituent M2 located offshore in Shenxiangou channel (Fan and Huang, 2005). Tidal current is found to be generally parallel to the coastline, which flows southward during flood tide and northward during ebb tide with an average speed of 0.5–1.0 m/s (Bi et al., 2010).

3. Methodology

3.1. Model set-up

A 2D depth-averaged coupled hydrodynamic and morphodynamic model based on the open-source TELEMAC suite (Hervouet, 2007) is set up for this study. The computational domain, centred at the YRD, spans from 37 to 41°N in latitude and from 117.5 to 122°E in longitude, covering the entire Bohai Sea and part of Yellow Sea, as shown in Fig. 3. The model uses an irregular (triangular) mesh with 168,938 nodes, 335,171 elements and varying grid resolution from 8 km near the open boundary to around 50 m in the river channel and the estuary.

The hydrodynamic module in the model TELEMAC2D solves the following 2D depth-averaged Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla}(h) + h div(\vec{u}) = S_h$$
(1)

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla}(u) = -g \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + S_x + \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{div}(h\nu_t \vec{\nabla} u)$$
(2)

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla}(v) = -g \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} + S_y + \frac{1}{h} \operatorname{div}(h\nu_t \vec{\nabla}v)$$
(3)

where, u & v are the depth-averaged velocity in x and y direction, h is the water depth, v_t is the momentum diffusion coefficient, and S_x and S_y are the source or sink terms within the domain.

The sediment transport module SISYPHE is coupled with the hydrodynamic module for computing sediment transport and bed level changes. In this study, due to the fact that the morphodynamic process is dominated by the fine sediment, only the suspended sediment transport is considered by solving the two-dimensional advection-diffusion equation, expressed as:

$$\frac{\partial hC}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial huC}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial hvC}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(h\varepsilon_s \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(h\varepsilon_s \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right) + \alpha \omega (S_* - S)$$
(4)

where, C = C(x,y,t) is the depth-averaged concentration expressed in volume concentration, ε_s is the turbulent diffusivity of the sediment, *S* is the near-bed concentration, S_* is the sediment transport capacity under tidal currents, and ω is settling velocity, which can be calculated with the following expression for the sediment grain diameter d_{50} less than 100 µm:

$$\omega = \frac{(s-1)gd_{50}^2}{18\nu}$$
(5)

where ν is the kinematic viscosity.

Given the particular characteristics of the fine sediment transported in the Yellow River, it is necessary to implement a user-defined function in the model to calculate the sediment transport capacity (S_*) with the formula proposed by Dou et al. (1995):

$$S_* = \alpha_0 \frac{1}{\omega(s-1)} \frac{r^3 n^2}{h^{4/3}} \tag{6}$$

where, *r* is the resultant velocity of u & v, *n* is Manning's coefficient for bed roughness, *s* is the specific density of sediment to water, and α_0 is a constant (0.023).

For bed evolution when only the suspended sediment is considered, the following formula is used:

$$(1-\lambda)\frac{\partial Z_b}{\partial t} = \alpha\omega(S-S_*) \tag{7}$$



Longitude (°E)

Fig. 3. Computational domain and locations of tide gauges (squares) and velocity observations (triangles).

where, λ is the bed porosity and Z_b is the bed level.

In this study, the topography data is taken from two sources: the bathymetric survey carried out in 2015 for the YRD lowermost channel and the subaqueous delta, and the bathymetric survey carried out in 1999 for other subaqueous areas in the Bohai Sea. The seaward open boundary located in the northern Yellow Sea is driven by the tidal elevations and depth-averaged velocity from TPXO7.2 database (http://volkov.oce.orst.edu/tides) with 13 tide constituents, namely M2, S2, N2, K2, K1, O1, P1, Q1, MF, MM, M4, MS4 and MN4. The upstream boundary is located at the transect of the lowermost channel of the YRD, some 40 km from the estuary mouth, where representative flow discharge is imposed to represent the river discharge at the most downstream hydrograph station, Lijin Station, as shown in Fig. 3.

3.2. Model validations

The model performance is assessed by evaluating the root-meansquare error (RMSE) and the correlation efficient (CC) between the computed results and observations with the following expressions:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X_{cal} - X_{obs})^2}{N}}$$
(8)

$$CC = \frac{\sum (X_{cal} - \overline{X}_{cal})(X_{obs} - \overline{X}_{obs})}{[\sum (X_{cal} - \overline{X}_{cal})^2 \sum (X_{obs} - \overline{X}_{obs})^2]^{1/2}}$$
(9)

where, $X_{\rm cal}$ and $X_{\rm obs}$ are the values of model calculated and observed qualities, respectively. N is the numbers of $X_{\rm obs}$, and $\overline{X}_{\rm cal}$ and $\overline{X}_{\rm obs}$ are the time average values of $X_{\rm cal}$ and $X_{\rm obs}$, respectively.

During the validating, the model is operated with a constant river discharge 500 m^3 /s at upstream boundary for two periods: from 1 June 2015 to 15 June 2015 and from 25 August 2018 to 31 August 2018. The former is used to validate the water levels and the latter is used to validate the flow velocities and directions near the YRD.

Fig. 4 shows the water level comparisons between model computed results and tide gauge data. The RMSE values for the water levels at twelve tidal gauge stations along the coast of the Bohai Sea range from 8.2 to 28.2 cm, with an average standard deviation of 16.1 cm. The CC values between model results and observations all reach 0.84, except for QHD and DYG Stations, where the tides are likely to be complicated by the nearby amphidromic points.

Fig. 5 shows the comparisons of the velocity magnitude and flow directions between in-situ observations and model computations near Diaokouhe abandoned estuary (O1 and O2), Gudong littoral zone (O3 and O4) and the active YRD (O5 and O6). The depth-averaged velocity was calculated by the velocities observed at different layers by acoustic Doppler current profilers (ADCPs). It can be seen that the depth-averaged flow direction agrees well with the observations. The RMSE values at six observation points range from 5.6–30.2 cm/s, with CC values reaching 0.80 except for that at O2. In general, the model validation indicates that the model performs well overall in the tide dynamics.

Due to the lack of field observations of SSC in the study area, the computed SSC from the model is then compared with the remote sensing image of calm weather. A remote sensing image in Oct. 2014 with light wind is chosen to represent the sediment dispersal near the river mouth. The simulated surface wind from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) (http://apps.ecmwf.int/ datasets/) shows the wind velocity was 2.0-5.0 m/s in latitude direction and 0.1-0.4 m/s in longitude direction, when the effect of wind waves on sediment resuspension was assumed to be neglectable. The results show that two high-turbidity areas located at the north (Diaokouhe) YRD and the active YRD both in the remote sensing image and computed results (Fig. 6). The high current velocity in both sites is considered to be the key driving factor for sediment resuspension and transport processes, forming high turbidity zones (Fan et al., 2018b). Wind waves and storm surges can promote this process. Even though wave conditions are not considered in the model, the spatial

distribution of SSC is largely consistent with that in Landsat 8 image in fair weather (Fig. 6) and the distributions of suspended particulate matter estimated by Qiu et al. (2017), which proves that our sediment module can reflect the sediment dynamics in the YRD.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Model conditions

The validated model is then applied to examine the effect of the river discharge on tides in both far-field and near-field and to investigate the impacts on the shear front. The model is run with 2 cases. In Case 1, which is focused on the hydrodynamics, the model is run with 5 representative flow discharges: 0 m³/s, 500 m³/s, 1000 m³/s, 4000 m³/s and 10,000 m³/s, without considering sediment transport (i.e. hydrodynamics only). Amongst the no-flow case ($0 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$) is used as the reference case, while 500 m3/s represents the average river discharge in dry seasons, 1000 m³/s represents the average river discharge in flood seasons and 4000 m³/s is the average peak flood discharge during WSRS. River flow of 10,000 m³/s is also regarded as the design extreme discharge for the current flood defence in the YRD. In Case 2, which is focused to capture the effect of river discharge on the dynamics of the shear front and sedimentation processes, the model is driven by 3 different discharges, namely 500 m³/s (low water discharge), 2000 m³/s (middle water discharge) and 3000 m³/s (high water discharge). At the upstream river boundary, equilibrium concentrations of sediment is assumed. As shown in Fig. 2d, the median grain size from long-term time series analysis at Lijin Station was in a range between 16.4 µm and 23.0 µm. The field observation in 2013 also showed a great spatial variability in the YRD, ranging from 6.3 µm to 119 µm. By considering the complex pattern of sediment size in the study area, it is decided that a median grain size of 16 um (fine silt) is chosen to represent the influx sediment at the active river mouth.

4.2. Tidal response to the changing environment

4.2.1. Tide dynamics in the Bohai Sea

In Case 1, the model is run for a period of 45 days with a series of prescribed river discharges. Tide harmonic analysis is carried out using T_Tide codes (Pawlowicz et al., 2002) on the computed water levels for the latter 30 days to eliminate the initial effect in the first 15-day leadin period. For the period of 30 days, which covers just over 2 springneap tide cycles, the hourly output of the model results is believed to be sufficient for analysing the main tide constituents. Tide amplitude and phases for M2, S2, O1 and K1 tidal constituents are presented in Fig. 7(a-d). The results are in general agree with the observations and model simulations from other researchers (Huang et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2018). Two amphidromic points of M2 and S2 in the Bohai Sea: one is located at QHD and the other is close to the northern part of Gudong. The computed results show that with the nearshore topography changes of the YRD and coastline variations in the Bohai Sea, the M2 amphidromic point found in this study have moved slightly southwards from the coast off the Wuhaozhuang to the north of Gudong in recent years, which is in well agreement with the result from Huang et al. (2015).

Fig. 7e shows distribution of total tide ranges in the Bohai Sea. It can be seen that the central area in the Bohai Sea is dominated by microtides with the tide range being less than 2 m. The areas in the east, north and west of the Bohai Sea are of meso-tides with tide range being between 2 and 4 m. In the far north end of the Bohai Sea, it is the region of macro-tides where the tide range exceeds 4 m.

As for the type of the tides in the Bohai Sea, the classification suggested by Reeve et al. (2004) is used. The type of tides in the region is determined with the following expression:



$$F = \frac{K1 + O1}{M2 + S2}$$
(10)

where M2, S2, O1 and K1 are the amplitudes of respective tidal constituents. From Eq. 10, when F < 0.25, the tides can be classified as the semidiurnal type and while F > 3.0, the tides are of the diurnal form. When *F* is between 0.25 and 3.0, the tides are of the mixed type. In the Bohai Sea, most of the area is dominated with mixed tides, where tides around two amphidromic points are diurnal, and areas in the southeast Bohai Sea are semidiurnal as shown in Fig. 7f. The results also indicate the YRD coastal regions mainly belong to microtidal category near the Yellow River mouth, and the tidal range gradually increases westward and southward, belonging to meso-tides in the west of Diaokouhe estuary and Laizhou Bay on south of the YRD. Furthermore, near the M2 and S2 amphidromic points in the north of Gudong, the tides are of the diurnal tide type, and gradually vary to the mixed type to the other vicinity of the YRD.

4.2.2. Effect of river discharge on coastal tides of the YRD

As inidicated in Fig. 7, M2 and K1 are the largest tidal amplitudes, thus the most significant tidal constituents in the Bohai Sea. Therefore, tidal amplitudes of M2 and K1 near the YRD coast are computed with the prescribed river discharges and compared with the reference case of no river discharge condition, and their differences are shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen that when the river discharge increases, the tidal



Fig. 5. Comparisons of the computed and measured flow velocities and directions.



Longitude (°E)

Fig. 6. Comparison with the computed SSC and a remote sensing image.



Fig. 7. Co-tidal charts for: (a) O1, (b) K1, (c) M2 and (d) S2 tide constituents, (e) distributions of tidal ranges, and (f) tidal ratio.

amplitudes of both M2 and K1 show a remarkable decreasing trend in the lowermost channel of the YRD within the isodepth of 0 m. With a lower river discharge, the tidal waves can propagate further into the channel and the flow direction in the estuary is bidirectional. But with the increased river discharge, the tidal waves can be blocked further seaward and the tidal amplitudes can be depressed by the river discharge as shown in Fig. 8. For M2 tides, the reduction of the tidal amplitude is found in a range between 0.02 m with river discharge of $500 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ and 0.1 m with river discharge of 10,000 m³/s (Figs. 8a-d). A similar range can also be found for K1 tides (Figs. 8e-h).

In addition, the impact of river discharge to the amplitude of M2 and K1 shows a gradually decreasing trend when coming to the margin of the river mouth. And when it comes to the vicinity out of the river mouth, the influence can be limited within 0 to -0.01 m, which is much less than that at the river mouth. When the river flow rate gradually increases, eventually the flow direction near the river mouth is believed to become unidirectional (Leonardi et al., 2013), and the tidal impact will be almost ignored regarding of fluvial processes from the upstream.

For the temporal variations of the water level, the model results are extracted at an inter-tidal location P0 as an example, as shown in Fig. 9. The result indicates that the low water levels at P0 increases with the increase of the river discharge from 0 to $10,000 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, both during spring and neap tides, whilst the tidal range at P0 shows a drastic decreasing trend when the river discharge increases.

4.3. Dynamic response of the shear front to the river discharge

As shown in Fig. 1b, the active YRD is bifurcated by the NRM distributary and the ERM distributary, which respectively has distinctive river-tides interaction processes because of the river discharge and morphological difference between them. To further investigate the dynamics response of the shear front to the river flow discharge and its difference at two bifurcated river mouth, three further simulations with different river discharges are carried out in Case 2, with a focus on morphodynamics. Given the fine sediment at the active YRD, the model simulations only consider the suspended sediment transport with the equilibrium concentration as the upstream river boundary condition. To account for the dynamic nature of the shear front, fully developed shear fronts of both front types are selected for spatial analysis.

4.3.1. Formation and characteristics of the shear front

The tidal shear front is a shear interface with significant gradients in flow velocity, sediment concentration, temperature and salinity, which is closely attributed to the local tidal dynamics and related to the local dynamic environment and estuarine morphological changes (Wang et al., 2006b). In the YRD, the formation of shear front is associated with the phase lag between the near-field and far-field tides. The flow direction inside and outside the tidal shear front is reversed and can be categorized as IFOE (inner-flood-outer-ebb) and IEOF (inner-ebb-outerflood) types. In this study, the location of the shear front zone is represented with its centreline, as shown in Fig. 10, determined from an obvious interface where relatively low flow velocity (less than 0.1 m/s in this study) occurs compared with the velocities on both sides of the shear front.

To further analyse the formation and propagating process of the shear front near the YRD, the hourly dynamics of the shear front over a tidal cycle is extracted, as shown in Fig. 11. During a tidal cycle, an IFOE type and IEOF type appear successively near the YRD. As for the IFOE type shown in Fig. 11a, the shear front originates from the northern coast outside Wuhaozhuang as indicated by line 1 and propagates gradually from the northern YRD to the south (indicated by line 2 & 3). Meanwhile, at the active YRD, a sub-shear front can also be found to originate close to the shoreline as indicated by line1' and



Fig. 8. Tidal amplitude differences for: (a-d) M2 and (e-h) K1 with water discharges of 500 m³/s, 1000 m³/s, 4000 m³/s and 10,000 m³/s respectively against the reference case (0 m³/s).

gradually move seaward to merge with line 2. The propagation process of the IEOF type is similar to the IFOE type from the north to south and from nearshore to offshore, as indicated by lines 4 (or 4') to 7 in Fig. 11b. Each type of shear front lasts 3–4 h over a tidal cycle, which generally agrees with the results of Wang et al. (2017a).

In addition, the duration of the occurrence of the shear front may play an important role in the sediment transport processes. Taking two reference points P1 and P2 as shown in Figs. 11, which are located at each side of the shear front with the water depth of 2 m and 16 m respectively for further analysis. Fig. 12 illustrates the flow directions at these 2 points over 2 tide cycles. The duration of the occurrence of the shear front in the active YRD can be derived from the phase difference of the flows. The results show that the duration for the IEOF type of the shear front is much longer than that of the IFOE type, which can act as the lateral blockage to the cross-shore transport processes, including the suspended sediment as suggested by Wang et al. (2007).

4.3.2. Dynamics response of the shear front to the river discharge change

Fig. 13 shows the dynamics of the shear front with different fluvial discharges. The results reveal that with the increase of the fluvial discharge, the shear front near the NRM remains almost the same, but the shear front at the ERM has a noticeable seaward movement. This reveals that the tide dynamics is more active and related to the fluvial dynamics from the river discharge near the ERM, in contrary to the relatively stable tide dynamics near the NRM. The field observations also indicated that the ERM distributary has gradually been the main



Fig. 9. Computed water levels at P0 (the location as shown in Fig. 8h) of the tidal flat at the active YRD with prescribed river flow discharges.



Fig. 10. Velocity distributions near the shear front zone: (a) IFOE type and (b) IEOF type.

distributary to accommodate the river discharge in respect to the NRM distributary (Chen et al., 2019). As the mean water depth at the ERM distributary is about 5 m, much shallower that the water depth at the NRM distributary (about 10 m), this may make the shear front in the ERM distributary more sensitive to the river runoff. Therefore, it can be expected that when an extreme river discharge occurs in the Yellow River either naturally or artificially, the hydrodynamics of the ERM distributary can be significantly influenced. It can also be seen that the width of the shear front zone decreases both in IFOE and IEOF front with river discharge increases (Fig. 13), where the shear intensity can be concentrated with the increasing river discharge, which could impact on the sediment deposition in this area.

To investigate the hydrodynamics response to the river discharge changes at the inner and the outer areas of the shear front, two cross-sections at the NRM and ERM distributary are selected as indicated as S1 and S2 in Fig. 13. These two sections are particularly selected to ensure their orientations to be nearly perpendicular to the nearshore tidal currents. Flow velocities perpendicular to the sections with 50 m resolution are extracted when the shear fronts are formed, as shown in Fig. 14. It is clear that for the IFOE shear front, the inner velocities are



Fig. 12. Flow direction variations at P1 and P2, where the grey rectangles indicate the durations of both types of shear front.

southerly, and the outer velocities are northernly along both S1 and S2. The reversal velocity patterns can be found for the IEOF shear front. It can be also seen that the velocities on the inner side of the shear front can generally become stronger with the increase of the river flow discharge, whilst the velocities on the outer side have a quite limited response.

As also shown in Fig. 14, the locations of the crossing points where



Fig. 11. The formation and propagation of the shear front of: (a) IFOE; (b) IEOF.



Fig. 13. Locations of the shear front zone (velocity less than 0.10 m/s) with river discharges of 500 m³/s, 2000 m³/s and 3000 m³/s for types (a) IFOE and (b) IEOF.

the velocity magnitude is zero for different river discharges vary. The crossing point moves seaward when the river discharge increase for both types. However, the maximum difference along S1 is approximately 200 m, whilst that along the S2 is approximately 500 m. This also echoes the strong dynamic response of the shear front to the river discharge in the ERM distributary as shown in Fig. 13.

4.3.3. Implications for suspended sediment transport and sedimentation

In Case 2, the model is run with the sediment transport module SISYPHE coupled. Similar to Figs. 14, Figs. 15 shows the SSC distributions along S1 and S2. The results show that the SSC distributions near the fully-developed shear front exhibit different patterns from the velocity distributions. Along S1, the SSC decreases drastically from the inner to the outer shear front zone with different river discharge and

the SSC of the outer shear front zone is found to be limited (Figs. 15a& b), which indicates the shear front at the active YRD has a potential effect on the suspended sediment dispersal to the outer sea. Along S2, where the main distributary locates to receive the water and sediment loads from the river, the SSC is higher in comparison with that along S1 for the NRM distributary, following a general decreasing trend from the inside to the outside of the shear front (Figs. 15c&d). It should be noted that the SSC distribution at the outer shear front zone of the ERM is increasing respect to the SSC at the shear front zone (Fig. 15d). Because when the riverine discharge is high enough, it could break through the barrier effect of the tidal shear front at a local scale, may transport substantial riverine sediment to the out sea off the shear front, which is also proved in Fig. 13.

Previous studies have demonstrated the hypopycnal flow as a main



Fig. 14. Velocity distributions under different river discharges: (a) IFOE along S1, (b) IEOF along S1, (c) IFOE along S2, and (d) IEOF along S2. Velocities are section-perpendicular (+ve = Northward and -ve = Southward) and the reversal locations of the flow are marked with circles).



Fig. 15. SSC distributions with: (a) IFOE and (b) IEOF along profiles S1; (c) IFOE and (d) IEOF along profile S2, where the circles indicate the reversal locations of flow under different river discharges.

sediment transport mechanism in flood seasons of the 1980s and 1990s. and replaced by buoyant hypopycnal plume under low SSC deliveries (Wright et al., 1986, 1988, 1990; Wiseman et al., 1986; Wang et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2013). The formation of the tidal shear front at the active YRD could very likely have a potential barrier effect on the sediment dispersal to the sea. To further investigate sediment transport pathways at times without the appearance of the shear front, the suspended sediment dispersal processes during the maximum flood and ebb velocity phases are presented in Fig. 16a&b. The model results show that the river-laden sediment debouching to the two mouth outlets can only be transported within a restricted area, most of which is dispersed within 10 m depth. Due to the strong coast-parallel tidal currents, even with the absence of the shear front, sediment is founded to be transported in alongshore direction, southward during the flood phase in the tide cycle and northward during ebb phase. In addition, the water depth in the Qingshuigou mouth and northern abandoned Diaokouhe estuary is relatively shallow, which, together with high velocity during the maximum flood and ebb, could trigger sediment resuspension, as indicated by two high turbid zones in the Qingshuigou Delta and the north abandoned Diaokouhe Delta in Fig. 16a. For the morphological changes over 30-day simulation period, the depo-center is found to have an over 1 m deposition depth, located within 2-3 m isobaths around the outlet of the ERM for the case with low water discharge (Fig. 16c), because the ERM distributary is the main distributary for the river discharge. When the river discharge increases, the depo-center moves seaward within 3-8 m isobaths and reaches a maximum of 4 m deposition depth (Fig. 16d). This is because that under the high river discharge, the shear front moves seaward and the riverladen sediment tends to be transported to a larger spatial range, further from the shore. This finding agrees with the results of Wang et al. (2007), showing that at the old Qingshuigou River mouth, the estuarine deposits are almost found within the shear front. The location of the depo-center from this study confirms that from Jiang et al. (2017), with the field observations indicating that the main underwater sedimentary body can be strongly shaped by the irregular ellipses with the long axis parallel to the 5-10 m isobaths and short axis perpendicular to the

isobaths in long-term YRD morphologic evolution.

The previous studies, which mostly were focused on the effect of riverine flow and sediment delivery to the delta-building, significantly advanced the understanding of the complex processes of rapid development of the subaerial land and sedimentation in the subaqueous slope in the basin of the YRD, which receives an average of 1.08 billion tons of sediment (Milliman and Meade, 1983). However, under the changing environment, such as sea level rise, increase of storminess, intensified human activities and decrease of river input, the riverdominated YRD is also undergoing a transformation in morphodynamics. The role of tides interacting with river input is playing a more critical role in shaping the deltaic depositional system. This study uses an advanced numerical modelling framework to improve the understanding of the river discharge forcing on tidal dynamics and sediment transport in the YRD and adjacent coasts by fully considering their interaction. Under the new regime of river input, it is of profound importance to pay attention to the changes in the interactions between the river and marine processes in the estuarine depositional system.

5. Conclusions

A full-scale hydrodynamic and sediment model using the latest detailed bathymetric data of the YRD has been built to investigate flow and sedimentary processes under the changing environment. The extent of influences of the river discharge to the tidal dynamics of the YRD is fully analysed, including tidal amplitude and tidal shear front dynamics, which can have significant impact on the suspended sediment transport and deposits. The results show that, with the increases of river discharge, the amplitude of M2 and K1 tidal constituents both show a remarkable decreasing trend, both in the YRD lowermost channel and the tidal flats. In addition, the model result proves the tidal shear front propagates from the north to the south and from nearshore to offshore, lasting 3–4 h during a tidal cycle, dominating the active YRD with IEOF type of the shear front. With river discharge increases, the shear front zone near the active YRD is forced to move seaward with the decrease in width and concentrate in the shear intensity, which becomes more



Fig. 16. Suspended sediment transport rate (Qs) at: (a) maximum flood, (b) maximum ebb phases, and depo-centers with river discharges of: (c) 500 m³/s and (d) $3000 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$.

obvious in the ERM from the NRM. Due to the barrier effect of the shear front and strong longshore tidal currents, the river-laden sediment can only transport within 10 m depth, forming the depo-center at the river mouth outlet. Consequently, the depo-center tends to move seaward when the river discharge increases, as the river-laden sediment disperses to a larger range with the movement of the shear front zone.

Acknowledgements

This study was partly supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No.2017YFC0405503), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.U1706214), the Open Research Fund of SKLEC (SKLEC-PGKF201903), and the joint PhD programme of the China Scholarship Council for Overseas Studies (No. 201806140079). We would also link to acknowledge the Yellow River Conservancy Commission, Ministry of Water Resources of China for providing the hydrographic data, and the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts for providing the surface wind data.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

Bi, N., Yang, Z., Wang, H., Hu, B., Ji, Y., 2010. Sediment dispersion patterns off the

present Huanghe (Yellow River) subdelta and its dynamic mechanism during normal river discharge period. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 86, 352–362.

- Bi, N., Wang, H., Yang, Z., 2014. Recent changes in the erosion–accretion patterns of the active Huanghe (Yellow River) delta lobe caused by human activities. Cont. Shelf Res. 90, 70–78.
- Blum, M.D., Roberts, H.H., 2009. Drowning of the Mississippi Delta due to insufficient sediment supply and global sea-level rise. Nat. Geosci. 2 (7), 488–491.
- Cai, H., Savenije, H.H.G., Jiang, C., 2014. Analytical approach for predicting fresh water discharge in an estuary based on tidal water level observations. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 18 (10), 4153–4168.
- Chamberlain, E.L., Törnqvist, T.E., Shen, Z., Mauz, B., Wallinga, J., 2018. Anatomy of Mississippi Delta growth and its implications for coastal restoration. Sci. Adv. 4 eaar4740.
- Chen, S., Xu, C., Yu, S., Fan, Y., Gu, G., 2019. Water and sediment dynamics of the active Yellow River mouth and its evolution of distributary channels. Yellow River (In Chinese with English abstract) (In press).
- Chu, Z.X., Sun, X.G., Zhai, S.K., Xu, K.H., 2006. Changing pattern of accretion/erosion of the modern Yellow River (Huanghe) subaerial delta, China: based on remote sensing images. Mar. Geol. 227 (1–2), 13–30.
- Dai, J., Liu, J.T., Wei, W., Chen, J., 2014. Detection of the Three Gorges Dam influence on the Changjiang (Yangtze River) submerged delta. Sci. Rep. 4, 6600.
- Dou, G., Dong, F., Dou, X., Li, T., 1995. Mathematical modeling of sediment transport in estuaries and coastal regions. Sci. in China (Series A) 38 (10), 1251–1260.
- Fan, H., Huang, H., 2005. Changes in Huanghe (Yellow) River estuary since artificially rerouting in 1996. Chin. J. Oceanol. Limnol. 23 (3), 299–305.
- Fan, Y., Chen, S., Zhao, B., Pan, S., Jiang, C., Ji, H., 2018a. Shoreline dynamics of the active Yellow River delta since the implementation of Water-Sediment Regulation Scheme: a remote-sensing and statistics-based approach. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 200, 406–419.
- Fan, Y., Chen, S., Bo, Z., Yu, S., Ji, H., Jiang, C., 2018b. Monitoring tidal flat dynamics affected by human activities along an eroded coast in the Yellow River Delta, China. Environ. Monit. Assess. 190, 396.
- Hervouet, J.M., 2007. Hydrodynamics of Free Surface Flows: Modelling with the Finite Element Method. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hoitink, A.J.F., Wang, Z.B., Vermeulen, B., Huismans, Y., Kästner, K., 2017. Tidal controls on river delta morphology. Nat. Geosci. 10 (9), 637–645.

Huang, J., Xu, J., Gao, S., Lian, X., Li, J., 2015. Analysis of influence on the Bohai Sea tidal system induced by coastline modification. J. Coast. Res. 73 (sp1), 359–363.

Huzzey, L.M., Brubaker, J.M., 1998. The formation of longitudinal fronts in a coastal plain estuary. Journal of Geophysical. Research 93 (C2), 1329–1334.

- Ji, H., Chen, S., Pan, S., Xu, C., Jiang, C., Fan, Y., 2018. Morphological variability of the active Yellow River mouth under the new regime of riverine delivery. J. Hydrol. 564, 329–341.
- Jiang, C., Pan, S., Chen, S., 2017. Recent morphological changes of the Yellow River (Huanghe) submerged delta: Causes and environmental implications. Geomorphology 293, 93–107.
- Jiang, C., Chen, S., Pan, S., Fan, Y., Ji, H., 2018. Geomorphic evolution of the Yellow River Delta: Quantification of basin-scale natural and anthropogenic impacts. Catena 163, 361–377.
- Leonardi, N., Canestrelli, A., Sun, T., Fagherazzi, S., 2013. Effect of tides on mouth bar morphology and hydrodynamics. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 118, 1–15.
- Leonardi, N., Kolker, A.S., Fagherazzi, S., 2015. Interplay between river discharge and tides in a delta distributary. Adv. Water Resour. 80, 69–78.
- Li, G., Wei, H., Yue, S., Cheng, Y., Han, Y., 1998. Sedimentation in the Yellow River delta, part II: suspended sediment dispersal and deposition on the subaqueous delta. Mar. Geol. 149 (1), 113–131.
- Li, G., Tang, Z., Yue, S., Zhuang, K., Wei, H., 2001. Sedimentation in the shear front off the Yellow River mouth. Cont. Shelf Res. 21, 607–625.
- Li, Y.F., Zhang, H., Tang, C., Zou, T., Jiang, D.L., 2016. Influence of rising Sea Level on Tidal Dynamics in the Bohai Sea. J. Coast. Res. 74 (sp1), 22–31.
- Liu, F., Chen, S., Dong, P., Peng, J., 2012. Spatial and temporal variability of water discharge in the Yellow River Basin over the past 60 years. J. Geogr. Sci. 22 (6), 1013–1033.
- Luan, H.L., Ding, P.X., Wang, Z.B., Ge, J.Z., Yang, S.L., 2016. Decadal morphological evolution of the Yangtze Estuary in response to the river input changes and estuarine engineering projects. Geomorphology 265, 12–23.
- Maloney, J.M., Bentley, S.J., Xu, K., Obelcz, J., Georgiou, I.Y., Miner, M.D., 2018. Mississipi River subaqueous delta is entering a stage of retrogradation. Mar. Geol. 400, 12–23.
- Milliman, J.D., Meade, R.H., 1983. World-wide delivery of river sediment to the oceans. J. Geol. 91 (1), 1–21.
- Nienhuis, J.H., Hoitink, A.J.F., Törnqvist, T.E., 2018. Future change to tide-influenced deltas. Geophys. Res. Lett. 45 (8), 3499–3507.
- Nunes, R.A., Simpson, J.H., 1985. Axial convergence in a well-mixed estuary. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 20, 637–649.
- Pawlowicz, R., Beardsley, B., Lentz, S., 2002. Classical tidal harmonic analysis including error estimates in MATLAB using T_TIDE. Comput. Geosci. 28 (8), 929–937.
- Pelling, H.E., Uehara, K., Green, J.A.M., 2013. The impact of rapid coastline changes and sea level rise on the tides in the Bohai Sea, China. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 118, 3462–3472.
- Peng, J., Chen, S., Dong, P., 2010. Temporal variation of sediment load in the Yellow River basin, China, and its impacts on the lower reaches and the river delta. Catena 83, 135–147.
- Qiao, L.L., Bao, X.W., Wu, D.X., Wang, X.H., 2008. Numerical study of generation of the tidal shear front off the Yellow River mouth. Cont. Shelf Res. 28 (14), 1782–1790.
- Qiu, Z., Xiao, C., Perrie, W., Sun, D., Wang, S., Shen, H., Yang, D., He, Y., 2017. Using

Landsat 8 data to estimate suspended particulate matter in the Yellow River estuary. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans 122, 276–290.

- Reeve, D., Chadwick, A., Fleming, C., 2004. Coastal Engineering: Processes, theory and design practice. Spon Press, London; New York.
- Syvitski, J.P.M., Saito, Y., 2007. Morphodynamics of deltas under the influence of humans. Glob. Planet. Chang. 57 (3–4), 261–282.
- Wang, H., Yang, Z., Saito, Y., Liu, J.P., Sun, X., 2006a. Interannual and seasonal variation of the Huanghe (Yellow River) water discharge over the past 50 years: Connections to impacts from ENSO events and dams. Glob. Planet. Chang. 50 (3–4), 212–225.
- Wang, H., Yang, Z., Bi, N., 2006b. 3-D simulation of the suspended sediment transport in the Yellow River mouth, shear front off the Yellow River mouth. J. Sediment. Res. 2, 1–9 (In Chinese with English abstract).
- Wang, H., Yang, Z., Li, Y., Guo, Z., Sun, X., Wang, Y., 2007. Dispersion pattern of suspended sediment in the shear frontal zone off the Huanghe (Yellow River) mouth. Cont. Shelf Res. 27 (6), 854–871.
- Wang, H., Bi, N., Wang, Y., Saito, Y., Yang, Z., 2010. Tide-modulated hyperpychal flows off the Huanghe (Yellow River) mouth, China. Earth Surf. Process. Landf. 35 (11), 1315–1329.
- Wang, N., Li, G., Qiao, L., Shi, J., Dong, P., Xu, J., Ma, Y., 2017a. Long-term evolution in the location, propagation, and magnitude of the tidal shear front off the Yellow River Mouth. Cont. Shelf Res. 137, 1–12.
- Wang, Y., Liu, D., Lee, K., Dong, Z., Di, B., Wang, Y., Zhang, J., 2017b. Impact of Water-Sediment Regulation Scheme on seasonal and spatial variations of biogeochemical factors in the Yellow River estuary. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 198, 92–105.
- Wiseman, W.J., Fan, Y.-B., Bornhold, B.D., Keller, G.H., Su, Z.-Q., Prior, D.B., Yu, Z.-X., Wright, L.D., Wang, F.-Q., Qian, Q.-Y., 1986. Suspended sediment advection by tidal currents off the Huanghe (Yellow River) delta. Geo-Mar. Lett. 6 (2), 107–113.
- Wright, L.D., Yang, Z.S., Bornhold, B.D., Keller, G.H., Prior, D.B., Wiseman, W.J., 1986. Hyperpycnal plumes and plume fronts over the Huanghe (Yellow River) delta front. Geo-Mar. Lett. 6 (2), 97–105.
- Wright, L.D., Wiseman, W.J., Bornhold, B.D., Prior, D.B., Suhayda, J.N., Keller, G.H., Yang, Z.-S., Fan, Y.B., 1988. Marine dispersal and deposition of Yellow River silts by gravity-driven underflows. Nature 332 (6165), 629–632.
- Wright, L.D., Wiseman, W.J., Yang, Z.S., Bornhold, B.D., Keller, G.H., Prior, D.B., Suhayda, J.N., 1990. Processes of marine dispersal and deposition of suspended silts off the modern mouth of the Huanghe (Yellow River). Cont. Shelf Res. 10 (1), 1–40.
- Wu, X., Bi, N., Yuan, P., Li, S., Wang, H., 2015. Sediment dispersal and accumulation off the present Huanghe (Yellow River) delta as impacted by the water-Sediment Regulation Scheme. Cont. Shelf Res. 111 236–138.
- Yang, Z., Ji, Y., Bi, N., Lei, K., Wang, H., 2011. Sediment transport off the Huanghe (Yellow River) delta and the adjacent Bohai Sea in winter and seasonal comparison. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 93, 173–181.
- Yu, Y., Wang, H., Shi, X., Ran, X., Cui, T., Qiao, S., Liu, Y., 2013. New discharge regime of the Huanghe (Yellow River): Causes and implications. Cont. Shelf Res. 69, 62–72.
- Zhou, Y., Huang, H.Q., Nanson, G.C., Huang, C., Liu, G., 2015. Progradation of the Yellow (Huanghe) river delta in response to the implementation of a basin-scale water regulation program. Geomorphology 243, 65–74.
- Zhu, L., Hu, R., Zhu, H., Jiang, S., Xu, Y., Wang, N., 2018. Modeling studies of tidal dynamics and the associated responses to coastline changes in the Bohai Sea, China. Ocean Dyn. 68, 1625–1648.